general management plan, Isle Royale National Park, Keweenaw County, Michigan.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service, in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a general management plan for Isle Royale National Park.

**Isle Royale National Park currently** operates under a Master Plan written in 1963. Because the master plan is severely outdated, the park does not have a comprehensive plan to set forth the basic management philosophy for the park, direct decision-making, and provide strategies for addressing issues and achieving management objectives. This planning effort will result in a comprehensive general management plan that guides the future use of resources and facilities; identifies future needs for facility types; clarifies research and resource management needs and priorities; encompasses preservation of natural and cultural resources; and addresses changing levels of park visitation over the next 10-15 year period. Carrying capacity issues will be addressed by incorporating the Visitor Experience and Resource Protection (VERP) process into the general management plan effort.

The EIS will describe a range of alternatives for management of Isle Royale National Park, including the no action alternative, and analyze their impacts on natural and cultural resources, recreation use, and any other current uses.

Major issues to be addressed in the EIS will include the following:

- 1. Island visitation has outgrown park infrastructure and operations. This contributes to crowding that already occurs in some areas due to the commercial boat schedules.
- 2. Increasing motorized boat use is taxing park operations and infrastructure and is causing friction between motorized and nonmotorized users.
- 3. Visitor facilities are deteriorating. It is increasingly difficult and expensive to replace these facilities due to laws passed since 1963. It is currently undetermined what facilities are appropriate on the island and if facilities should be enlarged to respond to the increasing visitation or if visitation should be limited and facilities reduced.

Additional issues to be addressed in the EIS may be identified during the scoping process. Federal, State, local agencies, and individuals or organizations are invited to participate in the scoping process. The scoping process includes:

- 1. Identification of potential issues.
- Identification of potential impact topics and topics to be analyzed in depth.
- 3. Determination of potential cooperating agencies and assignment of responsibilities.

Public scoping will begin in summer of 1995 and continue through the fall. Prior public notice of scoping processes will be issued. The public is encouraged to send written comments and suggestions concerning preparation of the EIS to Mr. Doug Barnard, Superintendent, Isle Royale National Park, 800 East Lakeshore Drive, Houghton, Michigan 49931-1895. The National Park Service will accept comments at this address at any time during the EIS process. However, to facilitate timely identification of issues and impact topics to be addressed in the EIS, the National Park Service recommends that initial comments be submitted by October 31, 1995.

The responsible official is William W. Schenk, Regional Director, Midwest Region, National Park Service, Omaha, Nebraska.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Superintendent, Isle Royale National Park, 800 East Lakeshore Drive, Houghton, Michigan 49931–1895, phone 906–337–4991 (summer) or 906–482–0986 (winter).

Dated: March 20, 1995.

#### William W. Schenk,

Regional Director, Midwest Region. [FR Doc. 95–7738 Filed 3–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

#### Aniakchak National Monument Subsistence Resource Commission; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Subsistence Resource Commission meeting.

SUMMARY: The Superintendent of Aniakchak National Monument and the Chairperson of the Subsistence Resource Commission for Aniakchak National Monument announce a forthcoming meeting of the Aniakchak National Monument Subsistence Resource Commission.

The following agenda items will be discussed:

- (1) Introduction of commission members and guests.
- (2) Superintendent's welcome:
  - a. Review of SRC function and purpose.
  - b. Aniakchak National Monument

- subsistence management report.
- (3) Old business:
  - a. Review and approve minutes from last meeting.
  - Status of hunting plan recommendations.
  - c. Election of chairperson.
- (4) New business:
  - a. Federal Subsistence Program Update.
  - b. Resident zone community boundaries.
  - c. Aniakchak National Monument Resource Management Plan.
  - d. Public and other agency comments.
- (5) Subsistence hunting program recommendations work session:
  - a. Finalize recommendations.
- b. Draft new recommendations.

(6) Adjournment.

Salmon, Alaska.

**DATES:** The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, April 6, and conclude at 12:00 noon on Friday, April 7, 1995. **LOCATION:** The meeting will be held at the National Park Service Office in King

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Pierce, Superintendent, Aniakchak National Monument, P.O. Box 7, King Salmon, Alaska 99613.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Subsistence Resource Commissions are authorized under Title VIII, Section 808, of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Pub. L. 96–487, and operate in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committees Act.

#### David B. Ames,

Acting Regional Director.
[FR Doc. 95–7672 Filed 3–28–95; 8:45 am]

## Jimmy Carter National Historic Site Advisory Commission; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Commission Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Commission Act that a meeting of the Jimmy Carter National Historic Site Advisory Commission will be held at 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., at the following location and date.

**DATES:** April 5-6, 1995.

**LOCATION:** The Carter Center, One Copenhill, Atlanta, Georgia 30307.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Fred Boyles, Superintendent, Jimmy Carter National Historic Site, Route 1 Box 800, Andersonville, Georgia 31711, (912) 924–0343.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of the Jimmy Carter National

Historic Site Advisory Commission is to advise the Secretary of the Interior or his designee on achieving balanced and accurate interpretation of the Jimmy Carter National Historic Site.

The members of the Advisory Commission are as follows:

Dr. Steven Hochman

Dr. James Sterling Young

Dr. Donald B. Schewe

Dr. Henry King Stanford

Dr. Barbara Fields

Director, National Park Service, Ex-Officio member.

The matters to be discussed at this meeting include the status of park development and planning activities. This meeting will be open to the public. However, facilities and space for accommodating members of the public are limited. Any member of the public may file with the commission a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed. Written statements may also be submitted to the Superintendent at the address above. Minutes of the meeting will be available at Park Headquarters for public inspection approximately 4 weeks after the meeting.

Dated: March 22, 1995.

#### Frank Catroppa,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region. [FR Doc. 95–7673 Filed 3–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

#### Pea Ridge National Military Park Advisory Team; Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the Pea Ridge National Military Park Advisory Team will be held at 6:00 p.m., on Thursday, April 20, 1995, in the park visitor center auditorium, 15930 Highway 62, Garfield, Arkansas.

The Pea Ridge National Military Park Advisory Team was established under authority of section 3 of Public Law 91–383 (16 U.S.C. 1a–2(c)) to provide a forum for dialogue between community representatives and the Pea Ridge National Military Park on management issues affecting the park and the community.

The matter to be discussed at this meeting includes: Boundary Study.

The meeting will be open to the public. However, facilities and space for accommodating members of the public are limited, and persons will be accommodated on a first-come-first-served basis. Any member of the public may file a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed with the Superintendent, Pea Ridge National Military Park.

Persons wishing further information concerning this meeting, or who wish to submit written statements may contact Steve Adams, Superintendent, Pea Ridge National Military Park, P.O. Box 700, Pea Ridge, AR 72751–0700, Telephone 501/451–8122.

Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection four weeks after the meeting at the office of Pea Ridge National Military Park.

Dated: March 20, 1995.

#### Ernest W. Ortega,

Regional Director, Southwest Region. [FR Doc. 95–7674 Filed 3–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

## Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### Information Collection Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposal for the collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of the proposed collection of information and related form and explanatory material may be obtained by contacting the Bureau's clearance officer at the phone number listed below. Comments and suggestions on the requirements should be made directly to the Bureau clearance officer and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (1029–0048), Washington, D.C. 20503, telephone 202-395-7340.

Title: Permanent Program Performance Standards—Underground Mining Activities, 30 CFR Part 817

OMB approval number: 1029–0048

Abstract: Sections 515 and 516 of Public Law 95–87 provide that permittees conducting surface mining operations with underground mining activities shall meet applicable performance standards of the Act. The information is used by the regulatory authority in monitoring and inspecting the operations for compliance with the Act.

Bureau form number: None Frequency: On occasion, quarterly, and annually

Description of respondents:

Underground Coal Mining Operators
Estimated Completion Time: 4 hours
Annual Responses: 74,933
Annual Burden Hours: 301,772
Bureau clearance officer: John A.
Trelease (202) 343–1475

Dated: January 10, 1995.

#### Andrew F. DeVito,

Chief, Branch of Environmental and Economic Analysis. [FR Doc. 95–7627 Filed 3–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-699 (Final)]

# Commission Determination To Conduct a Portion of the Hearing "in Camera"

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing to the public.

In the Matter of: Stainless Steel Angle from Japan.

**SUMMARY:** Upon request of respondent Aichi Steel Works, Ltd. (Aichi) and petitioner Slater Corporation (Slater) in the above-captioned final investigation, the Commission has unanimously determined to conduct a portion of its hearing scheduled for March 30, 1995, in camera. See Commission rules 207.23(d), 201.13(m) and 201.35(b)(3) (19 CFR 207.23(d), 201.13(m) and 201.35(b)(3), as amended, 59 FR 66,719 (Dec. 28, 1994)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public. The Commission unanimously has determined that the seven-day advance notice of the change to a meeting was not possible. See Commission rule 201.35(a), (c)(1) (19 CFR 201.35(a), (c)(1), as 0amended, 59 FR 66,719 (Dec. 28, 1994)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lyle Vander Schaaf, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–3107. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission believes that Aichi and Slater have justified the need for a closed session. Because petitioner Slater is the sole domestic producer, a full discussion of petitioner's financial condition and of many of the indicators that the Commission examines in assessing material injury by reason of subject imports can only occur if at least part of the hearing is held *in camera*. In addition, because certain information is contained only in confidential questionnaire responses of importers and producers and there are only three